

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT
BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO 3598 /2006

PETITIONER

Smt. Sunita Sharma, aged
about 45 years, wife of
Shri Om Prakash Sharma,
resident of Barpali Chowk,
Behind Rajshree Photo
Studio Champa, District
Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

P.R. 3668/06
Presented by Smt. Yashwanth Choudhary
dated 18/7/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
through - the Secretary,
School Education Deptt.
Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan,
Mantralay, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Collector, District
Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)
3. District Education Office
Distt. Janjgir-Champa (CG)
4. Smt. Rajeshwari Sharma,
wife of not known, aged
about not known Assistant
Teacher, High School
Pamgarh, Distt. Janjgir-
Champa (C.G.)



RECEIVED-COPY
17/7/06
CLERK WRTG. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक : W.P.N. 35.9.8... सन् 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	20-7-2006:- Shri Rahul Jha, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents No.1 to 3, on advance copy. Heard both the counsel. By the instant petition, the petitioner has challenged her transfer order dated 7-7-2006 whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Barpali, Champa to Pamgarh. The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner is working as Assistant Teacher in the Primary School, Bankeli, District Janjgir-Champa and the husband of the petitioner is also a Government employee and working as Lab Technician in the B.D.M., Government Hospital, Champa. The petitioner has been transferred to Pamgarh, which is more than 75 km away from the place of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that as per the Government Policy as far as possible the Government employee i.e. husband and wife are supposed to be posted at one place. Therefore, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to the respondent No.1 and he be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection.	

P.T.O.

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Barve	Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition to respondent No.1 raising all her grievances within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 shall decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation, in an objective manner, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2792/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.	Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge

P.T.O.

22/7/08